

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2013) KLR VOL 3 PART 326 pp. 1205 - 1472

MARCH 2013

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Aboseldehyde Laboratories Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank Plc p. 1205
2. Akinrimisi v. Maerks Nig. Ltd. p. 1237
3. Dogo v. State p. 1249
4. Eta v. Dazie p. 1269
5. Fatai v. State p. 1291
6. Lasisi v. State p. 1313
7. Ologe v. New Africa Holdings Ltd. p. 1345
8. Omokuwajo v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 1365
9. Osun S.I.E.C. v. NCP p. 1401
10. Stephen v. State p. 1417
11. Tukuru v. Sabi p. 1433
12. Ugwu v. State p. 1453

ii INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 3 KLR

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Will - Evidence - Unpleaded facts - Evidence led on facts not pleaded - Go to no issue and is liable to be expunged (H4) *Eta v. Dazie* p. 1269

ADMINISTRATION OF ESTATES - Will - Propounder of - Respondent as the propounder of the will - Has a duty to plead and prove due execution of same (H3) *Eta v. Dazie* p. 1269

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW - Official & judicial acts - Presumption of regularity - By Evidence Act s. 150(1) - Where there is no evidence to the contrary - Things are presumed to have been rightly done (H6) *Ugwu v. State* p. 1453

APPEALS - Court - Issues - Formulation - Provided no new issues are introduced - Appellate court can in appropriate circumstances - Identify proper issues in appeal (H4) *Tukuru v. Sabi* p. 1433

APPEALS - Courts - Findings of facts - C.A. did not overrule trial court's findings - As the operative findings of facts is the one - Made after the oral application for amendment had been granted (H2) *Eta v. Dazie* p. 1269

APPEALS - Criminal procedure - Sentence - Interference - Appellate court does not interfere with sentence imposed by trial court - Unless same is manifestly excessive or wrong in principle (H6) *Omokuwajo v. FRN* p. 1365

APPEALS - Evidence - Evaluation - Interference - Appellate courts interfere to ensure that justice prevails - Only where trial court failed to draw correct inferences (H4) *Lasisi v. State* p. 1313

APPEALS - Filing - Procedure - Appeal to Supreme Court lies against decision of Court of Appeal - But not against the decision of High Court (H3) *Ugwu v. State* p. 1453

APPEALS - Ground of appeal - Injunction pending appeal - Since the pending appeal and application for injunction - Are based on same consideration - The grounds of appeal must be the same (H3)

Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank p. 1205

APPEALS - Grounds - Determination - Grounds challenging finding of fact - Evaluation of facts - Or calling for investigation of existence or otherwise of facts - Are of mixed law and facts (H2) Ugwu v. State p. 1453

APPEALS - Grounds - Mixed law & facts - Leave - Supreme Court does not entertain appeal on such grounds - Unless leave is obtained from it - Or from Court of Appeal (H1) Ugwu v. State p. 1453

APPEALS - Injunction pending appeal - Applicant's duty - He must establish by evidence in affidavit - The legal right he seeks to protect by the order (H5) Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank p. 1205

APPEALS - Injunction pending appeal - Grant - Precondition - Court must go into a consideration of - Competing legal rights of the parties - To the protection of the injunctive relief (H4) Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank p. 1205

APPEALS - Issues - Basis - Any issue not distilled from ground of appeal - Goes to no issue in appeal - And is liable to be struck out (H1) Eta v. Dazie p. 1269

APPEALS - Notice of appeal - Striking out of - Court of Appeal rightly struck out the appeal - Since appellants abandoned their appeal - After obtaining order for stay of execution (H1) Osun S.I.E.C. v. NCP p. 1401

CHARGES - Preferment - Application - Leave of High Court Judge must be sought and obtained - And the application must be accompanied by - A copy of the charge - Names of witnesses at trial - And proof of evidence (H5) Ugwu v. State p. 1453

COURT PROCESSES - Abuse - Characteristics - It arises where a party improperly uses judicial processes - To the irritation and annoyance of his opponent (H2) Osun S.I.E.C. v. NCP p. 1401

COURT PROCESSES - Error - Amendment - Mistake which is not intended to overreach the court can be corrected - And it must be done without injustice to the other party (H6) Eta v. Dazie p. 1269

COURT PROCESSES - Statement of defence - Amendment - Granting of the oral application to amend the statement - Brought the pleadings in line with evidence on record - As per due execution of the will (H5) Eta v. Dazie p. 1269

COURTS - Appeals - Fair hearing - Parties - Issues - Binding nature - Court should not set up a case - Different from the one presented by parties - Without allowing parties to address it on same (H5) Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

COURTS - Processes - Power to prevent abuse - By Constitution 1999 s. 6(6)(a) - Once satisfied that any proceeding before it - Is an abuse of process - Court is empowered to terminate same (H3) Osun S.I.E.C. v. NCP p. 1401

COURTS - Reliefs - Binding nature - Courts are bound by claims sought before them - And any prayer granted without being pre-claimed by parties - Is invalid (H2) Akinrimisi v. Maerks Nig. Ltd. p. 1237

CRIMINAL LAW - Defence of accident - Sustainability - 1999 Constitution s. 33(2) cannot avail appellant - Since his act in defence of property - Excludes shooting by accident (H3) Dogo v. State p. 1249

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Fair hearing - Appellant was fairly heard - As she was properly arraigned - And her brief and caution statement were equally considered (H4) Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Arraignment - Requirements - Accused must be brought to court unfettered - With the charge read and explained to him - And his pleas are taken thereto (H1) Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Charge - Arraignment - CPA s. 215 does not require that notes be made - In record of proceedings of name of the court official - Who read and explained the charge to accused (H2) Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Charge - Interpretation - Where accused speaks English language - Points relating to interpretation of the charge - Is of no moment (H3) Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Admissibility - The law renders irrelevant admission obtained - By oppression or duress of the maker - Admissible confession must be voluntary (H1) Lasisi v. State p. 1313

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Conviction - Court can convict accused only on his confession - Where the statement is found to be direct and unequivocal (H2) Lasisi v. State p. 1313

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Conviction - In order to ground a conviction - Confession must be positive and direct - But reason for commission of the crime - Must not be stated (H1) Dogo v. State p. 1249

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Confession - Conviction - Without corroboration a confession can sustain conviction - Provided court is satisfied of the truth therein (H1) Stephen v. State p. 1417

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Conviction - Confession - Validity - Court of Appeal rightly affirmed the conviction of appellant - Since exhibit 7A was direct and voluntarily made (H1) Fatai v. State p. 1291

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Identification parade - Relevance of - Where identity of accused is not in doubt - The parade is not needed - As same is not a sine qua non to conviction (H2) Fatai v. State p. 1291

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Murder - Medical report - The report is

vi **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2013) 3 KLR**

not relevant - Since appellant attributed the cause of death of the deceased to himself (H4) Dogo v. State p. 1249

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Sentence - Statutory provision - S. 11(1) of the Cultism Prohibition Law - Does not give court discretion - To reduce the punishment provided therein (H2) Stephen v. State p. 1417

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - Evaluating and drawing inference from accused's statement - Is the duty of trial court - Which appellate courts do not enjoy the advantage of doing (H3) Lasisi v. State p. 1313

EVIDENCE - Inconsistency in - Effect - Appellant's evidence at trial is unreliable - And his previous statement does not constitute evidence upon which court can act - And both are no defence to the murder charge (H2) Dogo v. State p. 1249

JUDGMENTS - Declaratory judgment - Stay of - Such judgment cannot be stayed by an interim order - Except the declaratory order is coupled with a mandatory order (H1) Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank p. 1205

JURISDICTION - Issue of - Time to raise - Jurisdiction can be raised at any stage of the proceeding - Even before the Supreme Court - As the existence or absence of it - Goes to the root of the matter (H4) Ugwu v. State p. 1453

LAND LAW - Boundary neighbours - Evidence - As none can give the evidence save such neighbours - There is no difference between failure to call boundary neighbours - And failure to produce evidence of the neighbours (H3) Tukuru v. Sabi p. 1433

LAND LAW - Title - Grant - Nature of - It is an equitable relief that is subject to the discretion of court - Which must be of the opinion that party seeking it - Is entitled to an exercise of discretion in his favour (H1) Tukuru v. Sabi p. 1433

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of land - Proof - Plaintiff cannot be

granted title - If he fails to prove identity of land - With certainty and precision (H7) *Tukuru v. Sabi* p. 1433

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Plaintiff must succeed on the strength of his case - And not on weakness of defence - Though he may rely on defendant's evidence that supports his case (H2) *Tukuru v. Sabi* p. 1433

LAND LAW - Trespass - Concept - Trespass is unjustified interference with exclusive possession of land - And placing a foot on land unlawfully - Is as much a trespass as walking a mile on it (H5) *Tukuru v. Sabi* p. 1433

LAND LAW - Trespass - Proof - To succeed in such claim - Plaintiff must establish identity of the land - And he must be in exclusive occupation of it (H6) *Tukuru v. Sabi* p. 1433

ORDERS OF COURT - Fair hearing - Breach - Order made by Gumei J was a nullity - Since it was made inter alia in breach of 3rd defendant's right to fair hearing (H1) *Akinrimisi v. Maerks Nig. Ltd.* p. 1237

ORDERS OF COURT - Injunction - Purpose - The aim is to protect - An established right of the applicant (H2) *Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank* p. 1205

TORTS - Defamation - Determination - Basis - General impression conveyed by the publication complained of is to be considered - And not the meaning of each word (H3) *Ologe v. New Africa Holdings Ltd.* p. 1345

TORTS - Defamation - Publication complained of is fair report of what is sought to be conveyed - Which the law considers not to be defamatory (H1) *Ologe v. New Africa Holdings Ltd.* p. 1345

TORTS - Defamation - Test - In determining whether or not a publication is libelous - Court should consider the view of a reasonable man - Who comes into contact with the words therein (H2) *Ologe v.*

New Africa Holdings Ltd. p. 1345

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 33(2)(a) Dogo v. State p. 1249, s. 6(6)(a) Osun S.I.E.C. v. NCP p. 1401, ss. 36, 233(1) Ugwu v. State p. 1453, s. 36(6) Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

Court of Appeal Act 1976, s. 18 Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank p. 1205

Court of Appeal Rules 1981, O. 3 r. 304, O. 4 r. 192 Aboseldehyde Lab. Plc. v. Union Merchant Bank p. 1205

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. 1 r. 21(1)(2) Osun S.I.E.C. v. NCP p. 1401

Court of Appeal Rules 2007, O. 4 rr. 1(4)(5), 3, 4, 19 Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365

Criminal Code Cap 48 Vol. II Laws of Delta State 1976, s. 319(1) Ugwu v. State p. 1453

Criminal Code Cap. 29 Laws of Ogun State 1978, ss. 324, 319(1) Fatai v. State p. 1291

Criminal Code Cap. 30 Vol. II Laws of Oyo State 2000, s. 319 Dogo v. State p. 1249

Criminal Procedure Act, s. 215 Omokuwajo v. FRN p. 1365, ss. 179, 249, 282 Dogo v. State p. 1249

Criminal Procedure Law of Delta State, ss. 77, 215, 340(1)(2)(A)(B), 358 Ugwu v. State p. 1453

Cross River State High Court (Civil Procedure Rules) 1987, O. 23 r. 1 *Eta v. Dazie* p. 1269

Defamatory Act Cap 492 LFN 1990, s. 9(1) *Ologe v. New Africa Holdings Ltd.* p. 1345

Evidence Act 2011, ss. 27, 28, 29 *Fatai v. State* p. 1291, ss. 27, 28, 29(1)(2) *Lasisi v. State* p. 1313, s. 28 *Dogo v. State* p. 1249, ss. 76, 149(d), 199, 209 *Tukuru v. Sabi* p. 1433

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules 2000, O. 54 r. 1 *Akinrimisi v. Maerks Nig. Ltd.* p. 1237

Penal Code, s. 224 *Lasisi v. State* p. 1313

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990, s. 1(1) *Lasisi v. State* p. 1313

Robbery & Firearms Act Cap R11 LFN 2004, s. 1(2) *Stephen v. State* p. 1417

Secret Cult & Secret Societies in Higher Institutions (Prohibition) Law No. 6 of Kwara State 2004, ss. 11, 17 *Stephen v. State* p. 1417

Trafficking in Persons (Prohibition) Law Enforcement & Administration Act 2003, ss. 15(a), 16 *Omokuwajo v. FRN* p. 1365